



1

Generalized Geertsma solution for ground deformation

Joonsang Park (WP3 Leader, NGI) SENSE webinar No. 1 11:00-12:00, 9 November 2021

Table of contents

- Background and motivation
 - Brief history of Geertsma type solutions
- Generalized Geertsma solution of the study
 - Validation
 - Linear superposition
- Effects of layering
- Effects of data noise
- Summary and conclusion



2

Accelerating

-SE/\SE

echnologies



Background and Motivation (In Salah experience)





Brief history on Geertsma type solutions

- $\mathsf{G}_1, \mathsf{v}_1, \mathsf{h}_1$ R G_{1}, v_{2}, h_{2} $u_z(r, 0) = -2c_m(1-\nu)\Delta p H R$ $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-D\alpha} J_1(\alpha R) J_0(\alpha r) d\alpha, \quad . \quad . \quad (6)$ and $u_r(r, 0) = + 2c_m (1 - v) \Delta p H R$ $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-D\alpha} J_1(\alpha R) J_1(\alpha r) d\alpha , \qquad .$ (7)
- **7** Tempone et al. (2010)
- Mehrabian and Abousleiman (2015)
- Wangen and Halvorsen (2019)

7 Etc.

All those above require numerical integration of Hankel transform.



Generalized Geertsma solution from SENSE



- Any number and thickness of layers can be simulated.
- We can calculate deformation and stress at any layer for «static» pressure or temperature distribution applied at any layer.
- Any boundary condition is available e.g. rigid basement (e.g. Tempone et al., 2010).
- Matlab and Python scripts are implemented.
- Anisotropy medium model can also be considered i.e. G_h/G_v≠1. (Park et al. 2021)





Derivation (some math needed \odot)

$$U_1 = \frac{c_m P}{k} + az \left(A e^{kz} - B e^{-kz} \right) + C e^{kz} + D e^{-kz}$$

$$7 U_3 = \left(\frac{a+1}{k} - az\right) A e^{kz} - \left(\frac{a+1}{k} + az\right) B e^{-kz} - C e^{kz} + D e^{-kz}$$

•
$$S_{zz} = 2G\left[\left(1 - akz + \frac{v}{1 - 2v}\right)Ae^{kz} + \left(1 + akz + \frac{v}{1 - 2v}\right)Be^{-kz} - kCe^{kz} - kDe^{-kz} - c_mP\right]$$

¬ where $a = 1/2(1 - 2\nu)$; $c_m = \alpha(1 - 2\nu)/2G\nu$; *P*=*R*/*kJ*₁(*kR*)

A,B,C,D for each layer should be determined to satisfy the BC and IC along the layered medium.

Validation wrt FE solution



layer	thickness [m]	shear modulus (G) [GPa]		
		model 1	model 2	model 3
1	1300	0.5	1.0	2.0
2	200	1.0	1.0	1.0
3	œ	0.5	1.0	2.0

Poisson's ratio is 0.25 for all the layers; $\mu = G_1/G_2$





Effects of layering



Accelerating CS Technologies

8





Effects of heave data noise via synthetic data





Summary and conclusion

- A generalized Geertsma solution is presented to handle arbitrary number, depth and thickness of isotropic homogeneous layers.
 - The solution is validated by comparing the analytical solution to a numerical solution.
- We have applied the generalized solution to various subsurface models to study the effect of subsurface layering on surface deformation and the impact of acquisition-processing accuracy on inverted reservoir pressure.
 - It is shown that, for the tested case-study inspired by the In Salah CO2 storage project, the surface deformation is dependent on the mechanical properties, particularly of reservoir.
 - Finally, the inversion exercise has demonstrated that 3% noise due to acquisition-processing error may introduce up to 15% error in the inverted pressure
- This fast calculation engine is applied for more advanced inversion approach e.g. via ML + 2-step inversion (done by Jean-Remi Dujardin and Hector Marin Moreno at NGI).

Accelerating

chnologies

SEV-SE



References



TCCS-11 - Trondheim Conference on CO₂ Capture, Transport and Storage Trondheim, Norway - June 21-23, 2021

GENERALIZED GEERTSMA SOLUTION FOR ISOTROPIC LAYERED MEDIUM

Joonsang Park^{1*}, Ola Eiken², Tore I. Bjørnarå¹, Bahman Bohloli¹

¹Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), Oslo, Norway ²Quad Geometrics, Trondheim, Norway





Article

An Analytical Solution for Pressure-Induced Deformation of Anisotropic Multilayered Subsurface

Joonsang Park *, Tore Ingvald Bjørnarå and Bahman Bohloli 💿

Department of Offshore Energy, Norwegian Geotechnical Institute, N-0855 Oslo, Norway; Tore.Ingvald.Bjornara@ngi.no (T.I.B.); bahman.bohloli@ngi.no (B.B.)



SENSE (Assuring integrity of CO₂ storage sites through ground surface monitoring) project No. 299664, has been subsidized through ACT (EC Project no. 691712) by Gassnova, Norway, United Kingdom Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, Forschungszentrum Jülich GMBH, Projektträger Jülich, Germany, The French Agency for the Environment and Energy Management, The United States Department of Energy, and State Research Agency, Spain. Additional support from Equinor and Quad Geometrics and permission to use data from the Krechba Field by In Salah Gas JV are appreciated.

