ASSURING INTEGRITY OF CO2 STORAGE SITES THROUGH GROUND SURFACE MONITORING WP2.1&WP2.2: FAULT CASE PART

A.FOURNO, J.FREY



NEW ENERGIES

• To characterize the impact of faults on surface deformations

Considering various fault properties

MAIN GOALS

→ sealing faults: the fluids may flow on the fault plane and not through the fault

→open faults: the fluids may flow on the fault plane and through the fault

• To characterize an heterogeneity impact

Hypothesis of elastic deformation





3 © 2020 IFPEN

SYNTHETIC FAULT CASES



Anticline trap

Anticline trap with sealing or draining faults



Faults (core and damage zones) with throw



The anticlinal structure is reused

- 2 seismic faults are explicitly modelled
- An additional sub seismic fault is located near the well
- Seismic faults have a throw of 50m



MESH OF THE FAULTS

• The faults are modelled using 2 facies

→ fault core (using two cell thickness)

→ fault corridor (using one cell thickness for each side)

 Each fault facies have their own mechanical/flow properties



HETEROGENEOUS CASES: TRUNCATED GAUSSIEN





Resulting lithofacies simulation







5 MODELS BY STUDIED CASES

Homegeneous : no fault, no throw

Open faults with heterogeneous matrix

Open faults

Fault core transmissivity

Sealing faults
Sealing faults with heterogeneous matrix

 $10k_{res}$

■100k_{res}



 $T_{core}^{Fault} \neq 0$

 $T_{core}^{Fault}=0$







NEW ENERGIES



 a pressure control for carbonate and sandstone I cases

→ injection rate will change according to synthetic case permeability

• a rate control for sandstone II



Few results illustrated on Carbonate and Sandstone I scenarios

Carbonate Case	Brindisi & Michigan Basin	Baroni et al., 2015 Michael et al., 2010
Sandstone I case	In Salah	Baroni et al., 2011; Deflandre et al., 2013; Tremosa et al., 2014; Michael et al., 2010; Flett et al., 2008; Schembre-McCabe et al. 2008
Sandstone II case	from Snohvit, Decatur & Otway	Estublier et al. 2009; Niemi et al. 2017; Mt Simon, Zhou et al. 2010; Ruqvist et al. 2019; Cook 2014

More may be founded in :

- D2.2_Deliverables_Task2-2 : Assuring integrity of CO2 storage sites through ground surface monitoring (SENSE) WP2.2: Understanding the mechanism of surface movement (Deliverable D2.2).
 BOUQUET Sarah, ESTUBLIER Audrey, FOURNO André, FREY Jérémy, MALINOUSKAYA Iryna
- D2.1_Deliverables_Task2-1 :Assuring integrity of CO2 storage sites through ground surface monitoring (SENSE) WP2.1: Presentation of conceptual models (Delivrable D2.1) BOUQUET Sarah, ESTUBLIER Audrey, FOURNO André, FREY Jérémy, MALINOUSKAYA Iryna



TOP DISPLACEMENT AFTER 13 YEARS

NEW ENERGIES

Carbonates



- The sealing faults have a major impact on CO2 injection.
- Non-Gaussian shape of the displacements







TOP DISPLACEMENT AFTER 13 YEARS



The sealing faults have a major impact on CO2 injection.
Non-Gaussian shape of the

displacements





SANDSTONE I (10 YEARS)

NEW ENERGIES

INTEGRITY ANALYSIS

NEW ENERGIES

- 3 scenarios
 - Carbonate
 - Sandstone 1
 - Sandstone 2
- 2 facies distributions
 - Homogeneous
 - Heterogeneous
- 2 fault hydraulic behaviors
 - Sealing faults
 - Open faults
- 4 Initial stress regimes
 - Extensive Sx/Sz = 0.8 Sy/Sz = 0.8
 - Compressive -Sx/Sz = 1.1 Sy/Sz = 1.6
 - Strike Slip 1 Sx/Sz = 0.6 Sy/Sz = 1.1
 - Strike Slip 2 Sx/Sz = 0.8 Sy/Sz = 1.3

CAPROCK INTEGRITY

NEW ENERGIES

Sandstone 1Sealing faults

Heterogeneous

• Facies 1 :

- Cohesion = 4.89 MPa
- Friction = 20.78°

• Facies 2 :

© 2020 IFPEN

17

- Cohesion = 6.67 MPa
- Friction = 21°

• Strong impact of the initial stress regime on caprock integrity

CAPROCK INTEGRITY

NEW ENERGIES

Sandstone 1

• Sealing faults

Heterogeneous/Homogeneous

• Facies 1 :

- Cohesion = 4.89 MPa
- Friction = 20.78°

• Facies 2 :

- Cohesion = 6.67 MPa
- Friction = 21°

18 | © 2020 IFPEN

Present-day

10years

FAULT STABILITY

NEW ENERGIES

Sandstone 1

• Faults :

• Sealing faults

Heterogeneous

- Cohesion = 1.62 MPa
- Friction = 20.78°

FAULT STABILITY

Sandstone 1

• Sealing faults

• Faults :

Heterogeneous

NEW ENERGIES

Compressive stress regime - Sx/Sz = 1.1 - Sy/Sz = 1.6

- -6e+6 - -7e+6

- -1.2e+7

- -1.3e+7

--1.4e+07

• Strong impact of the initial stress regime on fault stability

Distance from the Outer DP criterion ۲

FAULT STABILITY : INDUCED STRESS VARIATION

NEW ENERGIES

Compressive stress regime - Sx/Sz = 1.1 - Sy/Sz = 1.6

• Faults :

Sandstone 1

Sealing/Open faults

Heterogeneous

- Cohesion = 1.62 MPa
- Friction = 20.78°

 Impact of the initial stress regime on induced stress variations

21 | © 2020 IFPEN

Present-day

1year

10years

CONCLUSIONS

Hydraulic behavior of faults as an impact on :

- Induced stress variation during injection
- ... the velocity of the displacements
- ... the shape of the surface displacements at ground level
- ... the center location of the maximum displacement area
- > BUT Difficulty to observe these impacts considering the INSAR detectability threshold

Initial stress regime is critical since it define the initial distance to the considered criterion for both caprock integrity and fault stability analysis

Chosen heterogeneities has a small impact on surface displacement, initial stress distribution and induced stress variation.

Innovating for energy

Find us on:

www.ifpenergiesnouvelles.com

@IFPENinnovation

